

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

DATE MAILED: 08/05/2005

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/828,579	04/20/2004	Adlai H. Smith	38203-6294	5263	
33123 75	90 08/05/2005		EXAM	INER	٦
DAVID A. HALL			NELSON, VIVIAN HSU		
HELLER EHRMAN LLP					_
4350 LA JOLLA VILLAGE DRIVE #700			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
7TH FLOOR			2851		
SAN DIEGO, (CA 92122				

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.



H-B						
	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/828,579	SMITH ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Vivian Nelson	2851				
The MAILING DATE of this communication apperiod for Reply	opears on the cover sheet v	with the correspondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REP. THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a re - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory perior - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statu. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mail earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).		a reply be timely filed nirty (30) days will be considered timely. DNTHS from the mailing date of this communication. ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status	·					
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on						
,-	is action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allow						
closed in accordance with the practice under	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C	.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.				
Disposition of Claims						
4) Claim(s) 1-47 is/are pending in the application	on.					
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)☐ Claim(s) is/are rejected.		·				
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.	•					
8) Claim(s) <u>1-47</u> are subject to restriction and/o	r election requirement.					
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examin	ner.					
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).						
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the	Examiner. Note the attach	ed Office Action or form PTO-152.				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreignal All b Some * c None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority docume 		. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).				
Certified copies of the priority docume						
Copies of the certified copies of the pr		en received in this National Stage				
application from the International Bure						
* See the attached detailed Office action for a li	st of the certified copies no	ot received.				
Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)		w Summary (PTO-413)				
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Paper No(s)/Mail Date Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)						
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 5) Notice of Informat Patent Application (PTO-152) 6) Other:						

Application/Control Number: 10/828,579

Art Unit: 2851

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:

- I. Claims 1-35, drawn to an apparatus, classified in class 355, subclass 69.
- II. Claims 36-47, drawn to a process, classified in class 355, subclass 77.

Inventions of Group I and Group II are related as process and apparatus for its practice. The inventions are distinct if it can be shown that either: (1) the process as claimed can be practiced by another materially different apparatus or by hand, or (2) the apparatus as claimed can be used to practice another and materially different process. (MPEP § 806.05(e)). In this case, in the broadest applicable terms, the apparatus of Group I can be practiced by a photocopying machine; the claims read on an illumination and printing system similar to that of a photocopier. The object being printed upon can be as general as the claimed "common imaging surface" of the application, or in this case a piece of paper.

According to the classification system, the process of Group II describes the procedure for operating the typical photocopier of Group I. The automatic feed copier machine can load, expose (scan), develop and reconstruct (reproduce) any image placed in the apparatus. The photocopier used in manual mode will have the same results.

Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art because of their recognized divergent subject matter, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

Application/Control Number: 10/828,579

Art Unit: 2851

Further, applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. Currently, no claims are generic.

Applicant is advised that a reply to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

Application/Control Number: 10/828,579

Art Unit: 2851

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143).

Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

vhn

Michael Tokar

Michael Tokar

Supervisory Patent Examiner

Tachnology Center 2800